UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,639	08/16/2006	Toshio Isozaki	294568US0PCT	2292	
	7590 07/14/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			LACLAIR, DARCY D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1796			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com Application/Control Number: 10/589,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. Applicants' amendment filed **7/6/2009** has <u>not</u> been entered given that it raises other new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

With respect to other new issues, amended Claim 1, from which all claims depend now incorporates the limitations of Claims 5 and 9 (1 to 20 mass parts of inorganic filler) and Claims 6 and 10 (1 to 15 parts of impact resistance improver) and specifies the type of inorganic filler (talc, supported at paragraph [0025]) and impact resistance improver (core-shell elastomer, supported at paragraph [0026]), as well as to include a limitation requiring PTFE in an amount of 0.1 to 2 mass parts (supported at paragraphs [0034] and [0035]). Furthermore, Claim 2 has been amended to recite a Markush group of copolymers for the amorphous styrene resin. (Supported at par [0024]) The scope of the amended claims is materially different from what it was at the time of the final rejection and, as such, it raises new isssues requiring further consideration. The Mitsuhashi Declaration of 7/6/2009 is noted but it is untimely as it is presented after a final and, further, without any previous discussion with examiner as to whether such a declaration would be entertained especially following a final action.

2. As the amendment is not being entered, those of applicant's arguments with regard to overcoming the prior art rejections based on the possibility of entry of amendment are rendered moot.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,639 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

3. Note: Should applicant enter amendments to overcome the 112 rejections only

in a separate communication, that will be entered and:

The 112 first paragraph rejection over Claim 2 would be withdrawn in light of

applicant's amendment reciting a Markush group defining the amorphous styrene resin

of component (B), which is supported in the specification at paragraph [0024].

The 112 first paragraph and second paragraph rejections over Claim 8 would be

withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment correcting the misnomer "monomer."

/D. D. L./

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Vasu Jagannathan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/589,639		ISOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darcy D. LaClair	1796	

	Darcy D. LaClair	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a ceplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (liest) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. (b) They raise the issue of pays matter (age NOTE below.	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better application in better the application in t		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See attached Advisory</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/D. D. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796		